

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/432,811	11/04/1999	DAVID FELGER	02416.84535	1586	
75	590 10/02/2002				
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD ELEVENTH FLOOR 1001 G STREET NW			EXAMINER		
			BERGIN, JAMES S		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 200014597		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3624		
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	<u> </u>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	•	09/432,811	FELGER, DAVID
••	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
<b>.</b>		James S. Bergin	3624
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		with the correspondence address
THE I - External ferrore for the control of the con	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. naions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the provision of the pr	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	November 1999 .	
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-70 is/are pending in the application	n.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-70</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) 🗌 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 1999</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '	* '
11) 🔲 🛚	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in	Application No
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bute the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.(	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures the company of the foreign language procedures the company of the compan	ovisional application has	been received.
Attachment			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 9

Application/Control Number: 09/432,811

Art Unit: 3624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statements filed 6/07/2001, 2/20/2002 and 5/21/2002 have been considered by the examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Williams et al. 5,815,657.

Williams et al. (hereinafter referred to as Williams) discloses a method of effecting a sale over a computer network comprising: determining whether a user passes fraud control before effecting a sale over a computer network (see Williams abstract), requesting information associated with a method of payment from the user when the user passes the fraud control (see Williams abstract), receiving the

Application/Control Number: 09/432,811

Art Unit: 3624

information associated with the method of payment from the user (see Williams abstract); and completing the sale transaction based on the received information associated with the method of payment (see Williams abstract).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-54 and 56-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Williams et al. (5,815,657).

Regarding claims 2-54 and 56-70, Williams et al. (hereinafter referred to as Williams) discloses a method of effecting a sale over a computer network as described above. Any additional limitations in the applicant's claims 2-54 and 56-70 are anticipated by the Williams reference. If for some reason applicant contests this assertion, then the examiner takes official notice that the limitations recited in applicants claims 2-54 and 56-70, only comprise that which are old and well known expedients in the art and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made, to include them in the Williams method of effecting a sale over a computer network, they being of such a notoriously well known nature.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Myatt et al. (5,231,569) discloses a relevant fraud control test in

Application/Control Number: 09/432,811

Art Unit: 3624

an account transaction system wherein data identifying the cardholder is read by a remote transaction terminal.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James S. Bergin whose telephone number is 703 308-8549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8.30-6.00 and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703 308-1065. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-7687 for regular communications and 703 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1113.

**JSB** 

September 24, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**